NOTCH SIGNALING

Genome-wide identification and characterization of Notch transcription complex-binding sequence-paired sites in leukemia cells

Eric Severson,¹* Kelly L. Arnett,²* Hongfang Wang,¹* Chongzhi Zang,³*[†] Len Taing,³ Hudan Liu,⁴ Warren S. Pear,⁴ X. Shirley Liu,³ Stephen C. Blacklow,^{2‡} Jon C. Aster^{1‡}

Notch transcription complexes (NTCs) drive target gene expression by binding to two distinct types of genomic response elements, NTC monomer–binding sites and sequence-paired sites (SPSs) that bind NTC dimers. SPSs are conserved and have been linked to the Notch responsiveness of a few genes. To assess the overall contribution of SPSs to Notch-dependent gene regulation, we determined the DNA sequence requirements for NTC dimerization using a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) assay and applied insights from these in vitro studies to Notch-"addicted" T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) cells. We found that SPSs contributed to the regulation of about a third of direct Notch target genes. Although originally described in promoters, SPSs are present mainly in long-range enhancers, including an enhancer containing a newly described SPS that regulates *HES5* expression. Our work provides a general method for identifying SPSs in genome-wide data sets and highlights the widespread role of NTC dimerization in Notch-transformed leukemia cells.

INTRODUCTION

Notch receptors participate in a highly conserved signaling pathway that regulates many aspects of development and cellular homeostasis in metazoans [for review, see (1)]. Mammals have genes encoding four Notch receptors (NOTCH1 to NOTCH4) and four functional ligands [delta-like 1 (DLL1), DLL4, jagged-1 (JAG1), and JAG2]. Receptor activation is initiated upon binding of ligands expressed on neighboring cells, an event that triggers successive cleavages of Notch by ADAM metalloproteinases and γ -secretase. The latter event releases the Notch intracellular domain (NICD) from the cell membrane, allowing NICD to traffic to the nucleus and form a Notch transcription complex (NTC) with the DNA binding protein RBPJ (recombination signal binding protein for immunoglobulin KJ region) and coactivators of the mastermindlike (MAML) protein family. The NTC recruits other transcriptional regulators, such as p300 (2), lysine-specific demethylase 1A (3), and mediator (4), to increase the transcription of target genes. Although the canonical elements of the Notch pathway are highly conserved, outcomes vary widely across cellular contexts, and inappropriate increases or decreases in Notch signaling are associated with several developmental disorders and many human cancers (5, 6), emphasizing the importance of precise regulation of the timing and size of transcriptional responses to Notch activation.

NTCs can activate target genes by binding to two different types of Notch response elements (NREs), monomeric sites containing single RBPJ binding sites (7, 8) and sequence-paired sites (SPSs) containing two RBPJ binding sites (9, 10). The DNA sequence requirements for binding of

+Present address: Department of Public Health Sciences, Center for Public Health Genomics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22908, USA. 2017 © The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science.

NTCs to monomeric sites have been characterized in vitro by studying the binding of RBPJ to an array containing all possible 8–base pair doublestranded DNA sequences in the presence and absence of other NTC components (11). This study revealed that the binding affinity and sequence preference of RBPJ was unaffected by the presence of other NTC components and yielded protein binding microarray (PBM) "scores" for RBPJ binding to every possible 8-mer DNA sequence. By contrast, the DNA sequence requirements for binding of NTC dimers have been studied less extensively. DNA sequences containing two head-to-head RBPJ binding sites separated by spacer sequences of 15 to 17 base pairs are competent for binding of NTC dimers (9). The crystal structure of an NTC dimer bound to an SPS showed that RBPJ makes the same contacts with DNA in dimeric complexes as in monomeric complexes and that NTC dimers are stabilized by homotypic contacts between residues Lys¹⁹⁴⁵, Glu¹⁹⁴⁹, and Arg¹⁹⁸⁴ on the convex face of the NOTCH1 ankyrin repeat (ANK) domain (9).

SPSs were described originally in promoter elements within the Drosophila enhancer of split [E(spl)] locus and are also found in the promoters of vertebrate E(spl) homologs, such as Hes1 (12), Hes4, and Hes5 (9). The cooperative binding of NTCs to paired sites suggests that such elements serve to "tune" or sharpen transcriptional responses within a subset of Notch target genes. Previous work in Drosophila cell lines has shown that genes in the E(spl) locus respond very rapidly to a pulse of activated Notch (13). We previously identified a set of NTC dimerdependent target genes that contribute to the development of Notchdriven T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) in the mouse (14), and Castel et al. reported that 14% (22 of 158) of high-confidence RBPJ sites identified by chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) in murine C2C12 myoblasts have associated motifs resembling SPSs. These studies suggest that SPSs have a substantial role in regulating gene expression in mammalian cells, but, to date, genome-wide studies linking putative functional SPSs to gene expression have been lacking. Moreover, the SPS in the Hes5 promoter is "cryptic," consisting of a high-affinity RBPJ site paired with a site that fails to match the RBPJ binding consensus sequence (9), suggesting that SPSs may not be identified reliably by "sequence-gazing" alone.

To fill these gaps in current knowledge, we first developed a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)-based assay using purified NTC components to quantitatively measure NTC dimerization in the

¹Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA. ²Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA. ³Department of Biostatistics and Computational Biology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02114, USA. ⁴Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Abramson Family Cancer Research Institute, Institute of Medicine and Engineering, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA. *These authors contributed equally to this work.

⁺Corresponding author. Email: stephen_blacklow@hms.harvard.edu (S.C.B.); jaster@ partners.org (J.C.A.)

SCIENCE SIGNALING | RESEARCH ARTICLE

presence of defined DNA sequences. We determined that dimer formation on any particular DNA sequence is strongly correlated with the product of the PBM scores for the two potential RBPJ binding sites, providing a means to identify possible cryptic SPSs, and then applied this metric to identify high-confidence SPSs in T-ALL cells. To evaluate our predictions, we introduced a dimerization-defective form of NICD and noted a strong correlation between the presence (or absence) of SPSs in functional NREs and Notch dimerization-dependent (or Notch dimerization-independent) expression of flanking target genes. Together, we conservatively estimate that about 15 to 20% of functional NTC binding sites in T-ALL cells are SPSs, an estimate in line with experimental perturbations showing that about a third of genes that respond to Notch activation do so in an NTC dimer-dependent fashion. Relative to Drosophila, human leukemia cells contain a broader diversity of NREs, including enhancers with multiple SPSs or mixtures of SPSs and monomeric NREs. These observations greatly expand the known role of SPSs in mammalian gene regulation and reveal the presence of diverse NRE architectures that contribute to the regulation of Notch target genes.

RESULTS

Identification of DNA sequences that support NTC dimerization using a FRET assay

Using the structure of an NTC dimer on the *Hes1* proximal promoter SPS as a model (Fig. 1A), we designed a FRET assay to monitor assembly of purified NTC dimer components on 40–base pair duplex DNA oligonucleotides in solution (Fig. 1B; see Materials and Methods). A FRET signal was generated only when DNA containing an SPS and all critical NTC components (key portions of NOTCH1, MAML1, and RBPJ) were present (Fig. 1, C and D). Furthermore, the mutation R1984A in NOTCH1 (Fig. 1A, inset) abrogated the FRET signal and prevented both NTC dimerization (fig. S1A) and activation of transcription from reporter genes containing SPSs (fig. S1B), yet it had no effect on NICD1 activation of reporter genes containing monomeric NREs (fig. S1C), findings in line with previous reports (*9, 10*).

Using this FRET assay, we next tested a variety of DNA sequences for their ability to support NTC dimerization (Fig. 2A). First, we assessed the effect of DNA spacer length on NTC dimerization and observed that dimerization only occurs with DNAs containing spacer segments of 15 to 17 base pairs (Fig. 2B and fig. S2). We then determined the effect of RBPJ binding site sequence variants on NTC dimerization using identical 5' (site A) and 3' (site B) sites (Fig. 2C) and distinct 5' and 3' sites (Fig. 2D). Introduction of nonconsensus base pairs into RBPJ binding sites produced a broad range of FRET signals (Fig. 2, C and D), suggesting that NTC dimerization on any particular DNA sequence differs as a continuous function of overall site affinity. Notably, the weak RBPJ binding site within the cryptic human HES5 promoter SPS (sequence 13) facilitated NTC dimerization when paired with a strong RBPJ binding site (Fig. 2D) but failed to support dimerization when scored as a palindromic sequence (Fig. 2C). We also tested a variety of naturally occurring SPSs. We observed that the cryptic murine Hes5 promoter site supported the loading of NTC dimers, in line with previous functional studies (9), whereas mutated versions of this site did not (fig. S3). Similarly, the murine Myc Notch-dependent enhancer site supported NTC dimerization (fig. S3), in line with the dimer dependency of Myc regulation by Notch in murine T-ALL cells (15).

To study the relationship between RBPJ binding affinity and dimerization in greater detail, we used biolayer interferometry to determine



Fig. 1. Detection of dimerization of NTCs on DNA by FRET assay. (A) Structure of an NTC dimer bound to the *Hes1* proximal promoter SPS. The inset shows the key ANK-ANK interface involving the residue R1984A. (B) Cartoon demonstrating the structural basis of the FRET-based NTC dimerization assay. (C) Wavelength scans for labeled NOTCH1 (N1) RAMANK (50:50 mix of RAMANK labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 or Alexa Fluor 546) in various combinations with purified RBPJ, MAML1 (MAML1, residues 13 to 74), and DNA containing the *Hes1* SPS. RFU, relative fluorescence units. (D) Quantitation of FRET from data represented in (C). FRET is expressed as $1 - F/F_{or}$ where F_{o} is the fluorescence intensity in the absence of SPS DNA at the emission maxima for Alexa Fluor 488. Data are means \pm SEM of three independent measurements.

the affinity of RBPJ binding to a series of increasingly divergent RBPJ binding motifs, including the weak RBPJ site in the *HES5* promoter SPS (fig. S4A). Notably, the measured binding affinities correlated well with affinities determined using isothermal calorimetry (*16*). NTC dimerization on DNAs containing pairs of these sequences aligned head-to-head and separated by a 16–base pair spacer also tightly correlated with the $log(K_d)$ (dissociation constant) value for RBPJ binding to each sequence as a monomer (fig. S4B), highlighting the cooperative nature of NTC dimerization on DNA.

Enrichment of SPSs in functional NREs in human T-ALL cells Functional NREs are characterized by dynamism, defined as the rapid unloading and reloading of NTCs as cells are "toggled" between the



Fig. 2. Quantitation of NTC dimerization on various DNAs using FRET. (A) Example of DNA sequences used in dimerization assays. SPS-1 has paired head-to-head consensus RBPJ motifs separated by a spacer of 16 base pairs. SPS-1–24 is an example of a DNA with a nonconsensus site B sequence, whereas SPS-24 has nonconsensus substitutions in both sites A and B. Nonconsensus base substitutions are shown in lower case. (B) Effect of spacer length on NTC dimerization. Each DNA contains the two consensus RBPJ binding sites shown in SPS-1. (C) NTC dimerization on the indicated palindromic DNA sequences with 16–base pair spacers. (D) NTC dimerization on the indicated nonpalindromic DNA sequences with 16–base pair spacers. In (C) and (D), nonconsensus base substitutions are shown in lower case, and sequence 13 corresponds to a low-affinity RBPJ site found in an SPS located in the promoter of human *HES5*. Data are means ± SEM of three independent measurements.

Notch-off and Notch-on states (17). Dynamic RBPJ/NOTCH1 binding sites are strongly associated with chromatin regions bearing acetylated histone H3K27 (H3K27ac) marks, are located predominantly in enhancers, and are spatially associated with genes whose expression is Notch-sensitive (17). The genomes of human T-ALL cell lines, such as CUTLL1, also contain nondynamic "static" RBPJ/NOTCH1 sites of uncertain function that show little change in occupancy when cells are cycled between the Notch-on and Notch-off state and are associated with Notch-insensitive genes (17). To determine the frequency of SPSs among RBPJ/NOTCH1 binding sites and test the idea that dynamic RBPJ/NOTCH1 sites are enriched for SPSs relative to static sites, 30–base pair sequences

RBPJ/NOTCH1 sites were surveyed for secondary RBPJ motifs using a positionweighted matrix (PWM) motif score (Fig. 3A) (18). This revealed that secondary RBPJ sequence motifs are uniquely enriched adjacent to dynamic RBPJ/NOTCH1 binding sites (Fig. 3B). Moreover, the identified motifs have the two key characteristics of SPSs, head-to-head orientation and separation by a 15- to 17-base pair spacer (Fig. 3B), in agreement with previous studies (9) and our FRET assay results (Figs. 1 and 2). Kmeans clustering of sequences flanking dynamic RBPJ sites also identified a cluster defined by adjacent RBPJ sites in a headto-head orientation separated by spacers with a preferred spacer length of 16 base

immediately flanking dynamic and static

Predicting SPSs in functional NREs in T-ALL cells

pairs (Fig. 3C).

Although sequence scanning indicated that functional NREs are enriched for likely SPSs, the ability of DNA sequences to support NTC dimerization forms a continuum, without any clear cutoff to assign sequences to SPS and non-SPS classes (Fig. 2). Therefore, we explored two different metrics that take into account the DNA sequence content of both 8-mers within potential SPSs. We first tested a PWM product score metric that was generated by multiplying the PWM score of site A to the PWM score of site B but observed that this score was poorly correlated with NTC dimerization in solution (Fig. 4A). As an alternative approach, we used data from studies of NTC "capture" to a PBM to generate a PBM score for all possible 8-mer DNA sequences (11). Unlike the PWM product score, the PBM product score [PBM(site A) \times PBM(site B)] was highly correlated with FRET signal (Fig. 4B), indicating that this metric can be used to identify DNA sequences that are likely to support NTC dimerization.

We then calculated the PBM product scores of all dynamic RBPJ/NOTCH1 ge-

nomic binding sites in CUTLL1 cells, assuming possible spacer lengths of 15– to 17–base pair spacers. Using a Gaussian mixed model, we identified two Gaussian distributions in the data, one corresponding to probable monomeric sites and one corresponding to probable SPSs, defined as sites that are statistically likely to fall under the SPS Gaussian distribution (Fig. 4C; see Materials and Methods for details). Of the 1182 dynamic RBPJ/NOTCH1 sites identified in our reanalyzed ChIP-seq data sets from CUTLL1 cells, 996 are associated with high-confidence RBPJ binding motifs (P < 0.05), of which 197 (20%) contain high-confidence SPSs (summarized in table S1). Among these 197 sites, maximal PBM product scores were observed with spacers of 15 (n = 78, 40%), 16 (n = 60,



Fig. 3. Identification of SPS motifs in the genomes of human T-ALL cells. (A) PWM logo in the head-to-head orientation used for subsequent calculations. (**B**) Motif matching scores for secondary RBPJ sites oriented head-to-head (HH), head-to-tail (HT), tail-to-head (TH), and tail-to-tail (TT) calculated for all possible spacer lengths across a 30–base pair (bp) region flanking the highest-scoring RBPJ consensus sequence associated with dynamic and nondynamic NOTCH1 binding sites. Asterisk (*) indicates 16–base pair spacer. (**C**) Heat map showing *K*-means clustering of RBPJ sequence motif matching scores generated for sequences flanking dynamic RBPJ binding sites in CUTLL1 cells. Each row represents a single highconfidence dynamic RBPJ site, and each column represents the sequence motif matching score in flanking DNA for positions 1 to 30 base pairs from the primary RBPJ site.

30%), and 17 base pairs (n = 60, 30%), which correspond to spacer lengths that support NTC dimerization in vitro. As might be expected of dimeric complexes, the average NOTCH1 and RBPJ ChIP-seq signals for predicted SPSs were greater than the signals seen at predicted monomeric sites (Fig. 5, A and B). Even lower average signals were seen at dynamic sites that lack RBPJ motifs, which may be enriched for sites involved in looping interactions with bona fide RBPJ/NOTCH1 binding sites. Mapping of likely SPSs showed that [as is generally true of dynamic RBPJ/ NOTCH1 binding sites in CUTLL1 cells (17)] most dynamic sites containing probable SPSs (86%) were found within distal enhancers (Fig. 5, C and D).

Contribution of dimeric NTC complexes to gene expression in T-ALL cells

Of the homotypic NICD1-NICD1 contacts that are required for NTC dimerization on SPSs, R1984 is particularly important, given that an R1984A substitution severely impairs NTC dimerization on DNA and abrogates activation of transcription from genes containing SPSs (fig. S1, A and B) (9). Because the R1984A mutant retains the ability to activate genes containing monomeric RBPJ sites (fig. S1, A and B) (9), it can be used to selectively perturb the expression of genes that depend on NTC binding to SPSs. Thus, to determine the contribution of NTC dimerization to gene expression in T-ALL cells, we performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) on CUTLL1 cells transduced with: green fluorescent protein (GFP), in the presence (i) or absence (ii) of γ -secretase inhibitor (GSI); (iii) wild-type NICD1 in the presence of GSI; or (iv) R1984A NICD1 in the presence of GSI, which inhibits the activity of endogenous NOTCH1 in CUTLL1 cells. Wild-type NICD1 and R1984A mutant NICD1 were expressed at comparable levels in transduced cells (Fig. 6A). We observed that 93 of the 281 genes "rescued" from GSI by wild-type NICD1 failed to be rescued by R1984A NICD1 (Fig. 6B; summarized in table S2). To narrow our focus to genes that are likely to be directly regulated by Notch, we relied on previous observations showing that direct Notch target genes tend to be found within the same CTCF-

bounded domain as one or more dynamic RBPJ/NOTCH1 binding sites (17). We observed that the expression of 36% (38 of 107) of these high-probability direct Notch target genes depended on NTC dimerization (Fig. 6C; summarized in table S2) and that the home CTCF domains of dimerdependent genes were enriched for predicted SPSs, whereas the home CTCF domains of dimer-independent genes were enriched for predicted monomeric NTC binding sites (Fig. 6D). These relationships are also evident in a waterfall plot showing that the more sensitive a gene is to the R1984A mutant, the more likely it is to be associated with a predicted SPS (Fig. 6E). Of further interest, local ChIP analyses showed that R1984A mutant NICD1 failed to bind stably to known SPSs (such as those in the promoters of HES1 and HES4), whereas association with predicted monomeric RBPJ/NOTCH1 binding sites (such as those in the IL7R and MYC enhancers) was comparable to that of wild-type NICD1 (fig. S1, D to G). This result is consistent with experiments in puri-

Downloaded from http://stke.sciencemag.org/ on May 1, 2018

fied systems showing that (in the presence of RBPJ and MAML1) the R1984A NICD1 mutant forms stable complexes on monomeric RBPJ sites but fails to form higher-order complexes on SPSs (10).

Discrepant associations (dimer-independent genes associated with SPSs, and dimer-dependent genes associated with monomeric sites) likely have several bases. Most notably, some of the most robust Notch target genes that score as NTC dimer-independent are associated with a mixture of predicted SPS and monomeric sites. Included among such genes is NRARP, which is regulated by a large 5' enhancer element that contains five dynamic RBPJ/NOTCH1 sites (17), of which three are predicted to be monomeric sites, and two are predicted to be SPSs. Presumably, in CUTLL1 cells, NRARP expression can be stimulated maximally without a need for dimerization on SPSs. Our results are similar to those obtained by Hass et al. (19), who used a split DNA adenine methyltransferase fused to NTC components to show that Nrarp in murine mK4 kidney cells also is flanked by an enhancer containing several SPSs yet behaves like a gene that does not require NTC dimerization to respond to Notch activation. Overall, 7 of the 11 dimer-independent Notch target genes associated with SPSs (Fig. 6D) are also associated with monomeric RBPJ/NOTCH1 sites. Other discrepant associations may stem from the experimental system used, because stable expression of wild-type or R1984A mutant NICD1 undoubtedly produces secondary effects on gene expression. Nevertheless, despite these limitations, our findings show that NTC dimerization on SPSs contributes significantly to the Notch-dependent program of gene expression in human T-ALL cells.

To further test the ability of our approach to identify genomic SPSs, we analyzed previously collected gene expression and ChIP-seq data sets obtained from the murine T-ALL cell line T6E (14, 20), which expresses a membrane-tethered form of NOTCH1 that is GSI-sensitive (21). Of the top 50 Notch-sensitive genes in T6E cells that are associated with a nearby Rbpj/NOTCH1 binding site, 21 (42%) were dimerization-dependent, defined by restoration of expression in GSI-treated cells by transduced wild-type NICD1 and not by the R1984A NICD1 mutant



Fig. 4. Generation and application of a metric for identifying genomic SPSs. (**A**) Relationship between NTC dimerization measured by FRET and the sums of PWM scores for RBPJ sites A and B. (**B**) Relationship between NTC dimerization measured by FRET and PBM product scores [PBM(A) × PBM(B)] for RBPJ sites A and B. (**C**) Bimodal distribution of PBM product scores of dynamic RBPJ/NOTCH1 binding sites in CUTLL1 genomes. On the basis of mixed Gaussian modeling, the red line corresponds to the distribution of PBM product scores corresponding to monomeric RBPJ/NOTCH1 sites, and the green line corresponds to the distribution of PBM product scores of >0.67 (corresponding to the black line) were designated likely SPSs for purposes of further analysis.

(fig. S5A). PBM product scores for all Rbpj/NOTCH1 binding sites associated with an RBPJ motif again showed two Gaussian distributions within the data set, with 241 of the 1654 high-confidence sites (14.6%) falling into the distribution of predicted SPSs (fig. S5B). As in human T-ALL cells, dimerization-dependent genes in murine T-ALL cells were highly associated with sites predicted to be SPSs based on their PBM product scores (fig. S5C).

Identification of SPSs involved in Notch-dependent regulation of *HES5* and *LUNAR1*

One observation emerging from our analyses of CUTLL1 cells was the absence of NTC binding in the *HES5* promoter region and the presence of two NTC binding sites (E1 and E2) within an enhancer element 5' of the *HES5* gene body (Fig. 7A). On the basis of PBM product scores for E1 and E2 (0.497 and 0.892, respectively), the E1 site is a monomeric RBPJ/NOTCH1 binding site, and the E2 site is an SPS; thus, like *NRARP*, in T-ALL cells, *HES5* is a Notch target gene that is predicted to be regulated by a mixture of SPS and monomeric response elements.

To assess transcripts within the *HES5* region for dimer dependency, we reanalyzed our strand-specific RNA-seq data using Trinity, a de novo transcriptome assembly method (22). Alignment of RNA-seq reads demonstrated that the expression of both *HES5* and an enhancer-associated transcript encoding a predicted noncoding RNA (termed *HES5*-associated noncoding RNA1 or *HANR1*) was suppressed in the presence of the R1984A NICD1 mutant, indicating that both are dimer-dependent transcripts (Fig. 7A). Dependency of *HES5* and *HANR1* expression on NTC dimerization was independently confirmed by real-



Fig. 5. Characteristics of high-confidence SPSs in human T-ALL cell genomes. (A) NOTCH1 and (B) RBPJ ChIP-seq signals for predicted SPSs, monomeric RBPJ sites, and dynamic ChIP-seq peaks lacking RBPJ consensus sequences (other sites). (C and D) Location of predicted dynamic RBPJ/NOTCH1 monomeric sites (C) and SPSs (D) relative to transcription start sites (TSS) and RBPJ and NOTCH1 ChIPseq signal intensity.

time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analyses (fig. S6). To link the E1 and E2 SPSs to *HES5* and *HANR1* expression, we performed clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated protein 9 (Cas9) gene editing with guide RNAs designed to target the E1 or E2 sites or immediately flanking sequences, alone and in combination. Initial studies performed on bulk transduced cells showed that cotargeting of both sites was more effective at suppressing *HES5* and *HANR1* expression than targeting of either site alone (Fig. 7B). T7E1 nuclease analysis confirmed targeting of both E1 and E2 (fig. S7). A single-cell clone with biallelic mutations within or immediately adjacent to sites E1 and E2 also showed impaired expression of *HES5* and *HANR1* (Fig. 7C). Together, these studies show that the E1 and E2 RBPJ/NOTCH1 binding sites located in the *HES5* and *HANR1* in CUTLL1 cells.

Coregulation of *HES5* and noncoding *HANR1* transcripts in CUTLL1 cells by Notch provoked us to ask whether these transcripts were also Notch-dependent in other T-ALL cell lines. Previous expression profiling carried out in a panel of Notch-dependent T-ALL cell lines failed to identify *HES5* as a general target of Notch (23), suggesting that CUTLL1 is an outlier with respect to Notch regulation of *HES5*. In line with these observations, H3K27ac landscapes in two other Notch-dependent human T-ALL cell lines, KOPTK1 and DND41, were devoid of H3K27ac in the region of the *HES5* gene body (fig. S8). Notably, H3K27ac in the region of RBPJ/NOTCH1 binding site E1 was restricted to CUTLL1 cells, whereas H3K27ac was observed in the region of *HANR1* and site E2 in all three T-ALL lines (fig. S8), suggesting that the activity of these two elements is dissociable.

We also scanned our data set for evidence of SPS-dependent coregulation of other coding genes and noncoding RNAs. This resulted in identification of NTC dimer–dependent regulation of the insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor gene (*IGF1R*) and *LUNAR1*, a noncoding



Fig. 6. Association of predicted SPSs and monomeric NREs with dimerdependent and dimer-independent Notch target genes in human T-ALL cells. (A) Abundance of wild-type NICD1 and R1984A mutant NICD1 in transduced CUTLL1 cells. (B and C) Heat maps of Notch-dependent RNA expression (B) and high-confidence Notch target gene expression (C) in cells treated with vehicle [dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)], GSI [1 µM dibenzazepine (DBZ)], or GSI after transduction with wild-type or R1984A mutant NICD1. Expression was determined by sequencing RNA obtained from two independent experiments. (D) Notch dimer-dependent and Notch dimer-independent genes are associated with predicted SPSs and monomeric RBPJ/NOTCH1 sites, respectively. Notchregulated genes were paired with nearby predicted SPS or monomeric RBPJ/NOTCH1 binding sites as described (17). Genes rescued by R1984A expression in the presence of GSI are preferentially associated with monomeric RBPJ/NOTCH1 binding sites than with SPSs ($P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$, Fisher exact test). (**E**) Waterfall plot showing the association between the dimer dependency of likely direct Notch target genes and the presence of one or more nearby SPSs ($P < 1 \times 10^{-3}$, Wilcoxon one-sided signed-rank test). The y axis corresponds to the log₂ expression ratio of individual Notch target genes in GSI-treated cells transduced with wild-type or R1984A mutant NICD1.

RNA proposed to play a structural role in looping interactions between an intronic Notch-sensitive *IGF1R* enhancer and the *IGF1R* proximal promoter (24). The intronic *IGF1R* RBPJ/NOTCH1 binding site has a PBM product score of 0.862, consistent with an ability to function as an SPS. In line with the model proposed by Trimarchi *et al.* (24), expression of the NICD1 R1984A mutant led to loss of expression of both *IGFR1* and *LUNAR1*, despite the absence of RBPJ/NOTCH1 binding signals near the *LUNAR1* transcriptional start site (fig. S9). Together, these analyses suggest that SPSs within distal enhancers can coregulate associated genes and enhancer long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs), findings that are of interest given the existence of numerous Notch-regulated intervening lncRNAs in T-ALL cells (24, 25).

DISCUSSION

We and others have hypothesized that cooperative dimerization of NTCs on SPSs serves to properly tune transcriptional responses to Notch signals (10, 13), but understanding the role of SPSs has been

limited by the lack of a robust method to identify SPSs genome-wide. Here, building on direct measures of binding of NTC dimers to DNA in purified systems, we provide a method for identifying likely SPSs in genome-wide ChIP-seq data sets and show that SPSs are often found in long-range enhancers, exist both alone and in combination with monomeric NTC binding sites, and regulate subsets of both coding and noncoding RNAs. On the basis of data obtained with a sensitive method using NTC components fused to complementary portions of DNA adenine methyltransferase, Hass *et al.* (19) have also suggested that SPSs are prevalent within the genomes of mammalian cells; however, although highly sensitive, this method labels genomic DNA over regions of about 5 kb, precluding precise SPS localization. Thus, our findings further extend the known role of SPSs in regulation of mammalian gene expression, laying the groundwork for assessment of the role of this conserved class of NRE in other Notch-dependent systems.

What remains to be determined is precisely how SPSs contribute to regulation of transcriptional responses to Notch. Previous work in fly cells has shown that E(spl) genes associated with promoter-localized SPSs respond very rapidly to Notch activation (13), leading to the suggestion that SPS-regulated genes are early responders, possibly at low Notch doses because of the cooperative binding of NTC dimers on SPSs. Here, we also note that SPSs, on average, have stronger RBPJ/NOTCH1 ChIP-seq signals, suggesting that SPS-associated genes also have larger or more sustained responses to Notch activation. However, linking specific response element architectures to the kinetics and size of response to Notch activation is complicated by the diversity of NREs that are found in mammalian cells. Most previous studies have focused on the existence of genes with promoters containing one of two types of NREs, SPSs or monomeric response elements. Our genome-wide analyses revealed many variations on these themes, including genes associated with multiple monomeric response elements (for example, IL4) and mixtures of SPS and monomeric response elements, often within longrange enhancers (such as NRARP, DTX1, IL7R, MYC, and HES5). Additional variation in response to a particular dose of Notch may be created by (i) differing affinities of individual RBPJ binding sites; (ii) differences in the number of functional NTC binding sites; and (iii) the presence of nearby cis-regulatory elements that cooperate with NTCs to drive transcription, such as regulatory lncRNAs. These variables are likely to yield a large range of responsiveness to Notch signals, which are normally balanced by feedback controls involving HES family repressors (13, 26) and Notch inhibitors, such as NRARP (27, 28), to enable precise regulation of the strength and duration of Notch responses. Notably, although SPSs are present in flies, they appear to be absent from worms, suggesting that SPSs arose to permit more precise and varied responses to Notch signals in complex multicellular animals.

Other levels of emerging complexity are reflected in cross-cell line, cross-lineage, and cross-species variation in the regulation of Notch target genes. We previously identified a cryptic dimeric SPS in the *HES5* promoter (9), yet our analyses indicate that in CUTLL1 T-ALL cells, *HES5* is regulated by two enhancer-based 5' RBPJ/NOTCH1 binding sites, one of which is an SPS. However, *HES5* is not a Notch-sensitive gene in other Notch-dependent human T-ALL cell lines (23), apparently because they possess different 5' enhancer states (fig. S8A). CUTLL1 cells are unusual in having a genomic rearrangement involving *NOTCH1* and the *TCRB* locus that creates a mutant *NOTCH1* allele that drives high levels of NICD1 (29), raising the possibility that this might lead to capture of genes that are not normally regulated by Notch in T lineage cells.

Other complexity is evident in Notch-regulation of *MYC*. Our group and Ferrando's group recently reported that in T-ALL cells and normal



Fig. 7. Coregulation of *HESS* **and** *HANR1* **by enhancer RBPJ/NOTCH1 binding sites. (A)** *HES5/HANR1* **coregulation. Upper panels show NOTCH1 and H3K27ac aligned reads from ChIP-seq data for CUTLL1 cells in the Notch-off (GSI) and Notch-on states [GSI washout (WO)]. Dynamic NOTCH1 binding sites designated E1 and E2 have PBM product scores of 0.497 and 0.892, respectively. Lower panels show stranded RNA-seq data obtained from CUTLL1 cells treated with vehicle, GSI (1 µM DBZ), or DBZ after transduction with wild-type (WT) NICD1 or the R1984A NICD1 mutant.** *HANR1* **and** *HES5* **aligned reads from opposite DNA strands are shown in red and blue, respectively. (B** and **C**) Effect of CRISPR/CaS9 editing of E1 and E2 on *HES5* and *HANR1* expression, as determined by RT-PCR. In (B), *HES1* and *HANR1* expression was determined in bulk CUTLL1 cells transduced singly or in combination with empty lentiviruses (CaS9GFP and CaS9RFP) or lentiviruses carrying guide RNAs specific for E1 (E1RFP) or E2 (E2GFP). In the left panel in (C), RBPJ motifs are in red, and mutated sequences identified by Sanger sequencing of single PCR products are in blue. Data are means ± SEM of independent determinations done in triplicate. Representative results are shown. N.S., not significant.

developing thymocytes, Notch regulates *MYC* through an enhancer element located ~1.3 Mb 3' of the *MYC* gene body (*15*, *30*), whereas Kieff's group has shown that Epstein-Barr virus-mediated up-regulation of *MYC* in B cells appears to occur via an RBPJ binding site located ~500 kb 5' of the *MYC* gene body (*31*). In the mouse, the T cell-specific 3' Notch-dependent *MYC* enhancer contains an SPS that is absolutely required for Notch to regulate *MYC* transcription in T-ALL cells (*15*), and the dimer-defective R1984A NICD1 mutant cannot support murine T-ALL development or sustain the growth of murine T-ALL cells (*14*). However, the dimer-defective R1984A NICD1 mutant drives *MYC* expression in human T-ALL cells and rescues these cells from a blockade of endogenous NOTCH1 with GSI. This distinction apparently stems from the presence of several monomeric RBPJ binding sites in the human *MYC* 3' enhancer that are absent from the murine *Myc* 3' enhancer. How these varied regulatory architectures contribute to physiologic Notch function in distinct cell contexts remains to be determined, but their importance in regulation of transcriptional outputs is emphasized by a report showing that about 5% of human T-ALLs harbor duplications of the 3' Notchdependent *MYC* enhancer (*30*). It will be of interest to determine whether alterations in NREs underlie other human disease states.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Protein expression and purification

Wild type and R1984A mutant polypeptides consisting of the RAM and ANK domains of human NOTCH1 (RAMANK, residues 1760 to 2126) were made cysteine-free by introducing the mutations C1871A and C1890A and were prepared as described (32). In control electrophoretic mobility shift experiments, the C1817A/C1890A mutants were equivalent to wild-type RAMANK and the R1984A RAMANK mutant in terms of assembly into NTC complexes on DNA. Single cysteine substitutions (D1942C and H2018C) that permit incorporation of fluorophores were made in the C1871A/C1890A RAMANK mutants by QuikChange mutagenesis. RAMANK proteins were fluorescently labeled using Alexa Fluor 546 C5-maleimide or Alexa Fluor 488 C5-maleimide. RAMANK proteins (25 μM) in 20 mM Hepes (pH 7.5) containing 150 mM NaCl and 1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) were incubated with each fluor (1 mM) overnight at 4°C in the dark. Reactions were quenched with β-mercaptoethanol. Excess fluor was removed using a 30-kDa centrifugal filter followed by size exclusion chromatography. RBPJ (residues 9 to 435) and MAML1 (residues 13 to 74) proteins were expressed and purified as described (33).

FRET assay

Assay design was based on the x-ray crystal structure of an NTC dimer bound to the SPS in the *Hes1* promoter in which the DNA is bent and undertwisted compared to B-form DNA. A model created by superimposing two NTCs on ideal B-form DNA

was used as a hypothetical "open" conformation in which the two NTCs are not on the same DNA face. Two surface residues of RAMANK, D1942 and H2018, were chosen and are predicted (i) to allow mutation to cysteine and fluorophore attachment without blocking complex assembly and (ii) to be close together in the fully assembled NTC dimers and far apart in the theoretical open conformation. Specifically, the two D1942 residues are 14 Å apart in the dimeric structure and 96 Å apart in the open model and are located on the surface of the ANK domain distal to the DNA binding region of the NTC complex. The two copies of H2018 are 14 Å apart in the dimeric x-ray structure and 61 Å apart in the open conformation on B-form DNA and are located on the surface of the ANK domain in the cavity between the ANK-ANK dimer interface and the DNA. Duplex DNA was generated by heating complementary oligonucleotides to 100°C for 5 min followed by slow cooling to room temperature. Complexes were assembled by combining

150 to 300 nM fluorescently labeled RAMANK with untagged 600 nM RBPJ, 2 μ M MAML1, and 150 to 200 nM DNA in 20 mM tris (pH 8.5) containing 150 mM NaCl and 5 mM EDTA. Assays were performed using a 1:1 mixture of D1942C–Alexa Fluor 488 and D1942C–Alexa Fluor 546 orly. In mixtures of D1942C–Alexa Fluor 546 only. In mixtures of D1942C–Alexa Fluor 488 and gain of Alexa Fluor 546 signal were coincident, and energy transfer was measured as loss of Alexa Fluor 488 signal ($E = 1 - F/F_o$, where F_o is the fluorescence in the absence of DNA). In experiments using H2018–Alexa Fluor 546 signal due to self-quenching was measured ($E = 1 - F/F_o$).

Biolayer interferometry

 $K_{\rm d}$ values for RBPJ and DNA were determined using a ForteBio Octet RED384 instrument at 30°C in a binding buffer of 25 mM Hepes (pH 7.9) containing 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM dithiothreitol, and 0.1% Tween 20. Pairs of biotinylated 27-mer complementary oligonucleotides containing the sequence CTAGXXXXXXCGCGCGGGTGAGTTCT, where eight Xs correspond to a variant candidate RBPJ binding site sequence, were heated to 100°C for 5 min and annealed by slowly cooling to room temperature. Biotinylated DNA duplexes (2 µM) were immobilized on streptavidin biosensors, and RBPJ binding was measured at a range of concentrations between 0.01 and 10 µM. $K_{\rm d}$ values were determined using steady-state analysis and fit to the binding isotherm $R = R_{\rm max}*[{\rm RBPJ}]/(K_{\rm d} + [{\rm RBPJ}])$.

Cell culture

Human CUTLL1 cells and murine T6E cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1× penicillinstreptomycin-glutamine. Cells transduced with NICD1 and R1984A were maintained in the presence of the GSIs DBZ (1 μ M) or compound E (1 μ M).

Retroviral packaging and transduction

Wild-type NICD1 and dimer-defective R1984A mutant NICD1 complementary DNAs (cDNAs) were cloned into the retrovirus vector MigR1 (*14*), packaged into pseudotyped retrovirus (*34*), and transduced into CUTLL1 and T6E cells by spinoculation, as described (*34*).

ChIP-seq analysis

Previous NOTCH1, RPBJ, and H3K27Ac data sets [(*17*); Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) accession number GSE72175] were reanalyzed using the Burroughs-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) (*35*) after alignment to human genome build hg38, and uniquely mapped, nonredundant reads were retained. Genomic wiggle traces were generated using MACS2 (*36*) and were normalized by the total nonredundant read count of each data set. ChIP-seq data processing was performed in R. Peaks with multiple summits greater than 150 base pairs apart were split in R, and dynamic peaks were determined as described (*17*). All new ChIP-seq data are deposited in GEO under accession number GSE51800.

RBPJ sequence motif analysis

RBPJ sequence motif hits (RBPJ consensus sequences) near dynamic NOTCH1/RBPJ binding sites were identified using the Find Individual Motif Occurrences (FIMO) tool (37). A 300–base pair region centered at the summit of each RBPJ/NOTCH1 ChIP-seq peak was screened, and RBPJ sequence motif hits with an adjusted P value of <0.05 were identified. An RBPJ sequence-motif scan was then performed in the flanking 30–base pair region in each of the four potential RBPJ-RBPJ site orientations, head-to-head, head-to-tail, tail-to-head, and tail-to-tail. The

RBPJ motif used for the screening and motif matching calculation was the PWM calculated using RBPJ PBM data in Del Bianco *et al.* (11).

Calculation of PBM product scores

Protein binding microarray data for RBPJ from Del Bianco *et al.* (11) was downloaded, and enrichment scores were transformed from values of -0.5 to 0.5 to values of 0.0 to 1.0 by adding 0.5 to all scores. PBM product scores were then generated for all 996 dynamic RBPJ/NOTCH1 sites bearing RBPJ motifs in CUTLL1 cells. The highest-ranked RBPJ site in each dynamic RBPJ/NOTCH1 ChIP-seq peaks was determined by FIMO (*37*) and was designated as site A. PBM product scores were then generated by multiplying the adjusted scores for each site A and each potential site B, assuming that endogenous SPSs have 15– to 17–base pair spacers.

ChIP-seq peak to gene assignment

The ENCODE union of CTCF sites [as described in the methods of (17)] was used to associated ChIP-seq peaks and genes. If a peak was in the same CTCF domain as a gene, then it was assigned to that gene.

Local ChIP

Local ChIP with a polyclonal antibody against NOTCH1 was carried out in triplicate as described (*17*). Sequences of primers used to quantify NOTCH1 binding to sites near *HES1*, *HES4*, *IL7R*, and *MYC*, as well as a negative control region that is devoid of NOTCH1 binding near *MYC*, are listed in table S3.

RNA-seq analysis

GFP-positive NICD1-expressing or R1984A mutant NICD1-expressing CUTLL1 cells with equivalent GFP fluorescent intensities were isolated 2 days after transduction using a BD FACSAria flow sorting instrument. Total RNA was extracted with the RNeasy Minikit. After ribosomal RNA depletion, stranded RNA libraries were prepared following the TruSeq library preparation guide (Illumina #15031048). Multiplexed RNA-seq was performed using a HiSeq 2000 instrument (Illumina). RNA-seq data were processed using TopHat and Cufflinks (version 2.0.2) (38) with default parameters. RPKM (reads per kilobase million) levels for all annotated genes with official gene symbols were obtained from the Cufflinks output for each sample. Genes with RPKM of >0.5 in at least one of the eight samples were used in differential expression analysis. A total of 10,495 expressed genes were retained. Notch-dependent genes were defined by (i) higher expression in DMSO than in GSI and (ii) higher expression in NICD1-transduced cells treated with GSI than in GSI-treated cells transduced with empty virus. Notch dimer-dependent genes were defined by higher expression in NICD1-transduced cells treated with GSI than in R1984A mutant NICD1-transduced cells treated with GSI. The significance of concordant high-ranked differential expression was determined on the basis of the null hypothesis that the sum of the ranks of differential expression log fold change follows the Irwin-Hall distribution. Notch-dependent genes were identified under a false discovery rate (FDR) cutoff of 0.01, and dimer-dependent genes were identified under an FDR cutoff of 0.05, both determined by the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

To identify expressed intervening lncRNA transcripts, we pooled together RNA-seq data from all samples and processed it using Trinity (39) with default parameters. Candidate lncRNAs were defined as transcripts of \geq 200 base pairs in length that do not overlap with any annotated coding exon in the human genome and that have low coding potential based on CPAT (40). RNA-seq data are deposited in GEO under accession number GSE72175.

Gene expression profiling

Gene expression profiling data were obtained from murine T6E cells transduced with empty MigRI viruses or viruses expressing NICD1 or the R1984A NOTCH1 mutant. About 15 hours after transduction, transduced MigRI cells were treated with GSI (compound E, 1 μ M) or DMSO, whereas NICD1 and R1984A transduced cells were treated with GSI only. After 6 hours of treatment, GFP-positive cells were isolated by fluorescence-activated cell sorting. Total RNA was prepared using TRIzol (Invitrogen), processed to fluorescently labeled complementary RNAs according to the manufacturer's instructions (Affymetrix), and hybridized to Affymetrix GeneChip Mouse Genome 430 2.0 arrays. The .CEL files were imported into R using the oligo package (41). Data were analyzed in R, and batch effects were corrected with ComBat (42). Differential expression was assessed in R using the limma package (43). Affymetrix gene expression data are deposited in GEO (accession number GSE97465).

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated targeted genome editing

Lentiviral vectors capable of coexpressing guide RNA and Cas9-eGFP or Cas9-RFP657 (44) were used to target the *HES5* enhancer region. Lentivirus production and transduction procedures were performed as described (44). Transduced cells were sorted by flow cytometry. Mutation of targeted regions in bulk populations of cells was assessed by T7E1 assay as described (45). Briefly, 100 to 200 ng of the PCR product containing the targeted genomic region was denatured, annealed, treated with T7E1 endonuclease I, and visualized on an agarose gel. To isolate individual clones, we sorted CRISPR/Cas9–single-guide RNA–expressing cells into 96-well plates at a density of 1 cell per well and cultured them for 3 to 4 weeks. Mutation of particular regions of the genome was assessed by PCR amplification of the targeted region, cloning with the blunt-end TOPO cloning kit (Life Technologies), and Sanger sequencing of DNA obtained from individual bacterial colonies.

Quantitative RT-PCR

RNA was extracted with the RNeasy Minikit (Qiagen), and cDNA was synthesized with a cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed in triplicate using the CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). Expression of *HES5* and *HANR1* was analyzed with CFX Manager Software (Bio-Rad). PCR primer sequences used for RT-PCR are listed in table S3.

Reporter gene assays

Notch reporter gene assays were carried out in transiently transfected U2OS cells as described (46).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

www.sciencesignaling.org/cgi/content/full/10/477/eaag1598/DC1

- Fig. S1. Characterization of the dimerization-defective NOTCH1 mutant R1984A in FRET, reporter gene, and local ChIP assays.
- Fig. S2. Sequences used to assess the effect of spacer length on NTC dimerization.
- Fig. S3. Endogenous murine *Hes5* promoter and Notch-dependent *Myc* enhancer SPSs support NTC dimerization in FRET assays.
- Fig. S4. RBPJ binding affinity for DNA correlates with FRET efficiency.
- Fig. S5. Identification of SPSs and Notch dimer-dependent target genes in murine T6E T-ALL cells.
- Fig. S6. HES5 and HANR1 expression depend on NTC dimerization.
- Fig. S7. CRISPR/Cas9 targeting of RBPJ/NOTCH1 binding sites in the E1 and E2 elements 5' of HES5.
- Fig. S8. Chromatin landscapes near *HES5* in T-ALL cell lines.
- Fig. S9. Coregulation of *IGF1R* and *LUNAR1* by an intronic *IGF1R* SPS. Table S1. Dynamic NOTCH1 binding sites in the genome of CUTLL1 T-ALL cells.
- Table S2. Notch-sensitive genes in human CUTLL1 T-ALL cells.
- Table S3. Primers.
- Reference (47)

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- R. Kopan, M. X. G. Ilagan, The canonical Notch signaling pathway: Unfolding the activation mechanism. *Cell* **137**, 216–233 (2009).
- F. Oswald, B. Täuber, T. Dobner, S. Bourteele, U. Kostezka, G. Adler, S. Liptay, R. M. Schmid, p300 acts as a transcriptional coactivator for mammalian Notch-1. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 21, 7761–7774 (2001).
- P. Mulligan, F. Yang, L. Di Stefano, J.-Y. Ji, J. Ouyang, J. L. Nishikawa, D. Toiber, M. Kulkarni, Q. Wang, S. H. Najafi-Shoushtari, R. Mostoslavsky, S. P. Gygi, G. Gill, N. J. Dyson, A. M. Näär, A SIRT1-LSD1 corepressor complex regulates Notch target gene expression and development. *Mol. Cell* 42, 689–699 (2011).
- C. J. Fryer, J. B. White, K. A. Jones, Mastermind recruits CycC:CDK8 to phosphorylate the Notch ICD and coordinate activation with turnover. *Mol. Cell* 16, 509–520 (2004).
- 5. J. C. Aster, In brief: Notch signalling in health and disease. J. Pathol. 232, 1-3 (2014).
- R. A. Previs, R. L. Coleman, A. L. Harris, A. K. Sood, Molecular pathways: Translational and therapeutic implications of the Notch signaling pathway in cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 21, 955–961 (2015).
- S. H. Choi, T. E. Wales, Y. Nam, D. J. O'Donovan, P. Sliz, J. R. Engen, S. C. Blacklow, Conformational locking upon cooperative assembly of notch transcription complexes. *Structure* 20, 340–349 (2012).
- Y. Nam, P. Sliz, L. Song, J. C. Aster, S. C. Blacklow, Structural basis for cooperativity in recruitment of MAML coactivators to Notch transcription complexes. *Cell* **124**, 973–983 (2006).
- K. L. Arnett, M. Hass, D. G. McArthur, M. X. G. Ilagan, J. C. Aster, R. Kopan, S. C. Blacklow, Structural and mechanistic insights into cooperative assembly of dimeric Notch transcription complexes. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **17**, 1312–1317 (2010).
- Y. Nam, P. Sliz, W. S. Pear, J. C. Aster, S. C. Blacklow, Cooperative assembly of higher-order Notch complexes functions as a switch to induce transcription. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **104**, 2103–2108 (2007).
- C. Del Bianco, A. Vedenko, S. H. Choi, M. F. Berger, L. Shokri, M. L. Bulyk, S. C. Blacklow, Notch and MAML-1 complexation do not detectably alter the DNA binding specificity of the transcription factor CSL. *PLOS ONE* 5, e15034 (2010).
- S. Jarriault, C. Brou, F. Logeat, E. H. Schroeter, R. Kopan, A. Israel, Signalling downstream of activated mammalian Notch. *Nature* 377, 355–358 (1995).
- B. E. Housden, A. Q. Fu, A. Krejci, F. Bernard, B. Fischer, S. Tavaré, S. Russell, S. J. Bray, Transcriptional dynamics elicited by a short pulse of notch activation involves feedforward regulation by *E(spl)/Hes* genes. *PLOS Genet.* 9, e1003162 (2013).
- H. Liu, A. W. S. Chi, K. L. Arnett, M. Y. Chiang, L. Xu, O. Shestova, H. Wang, Y.-M. Li, A. Bhandoola, J. C. Aster, S. C. Blacklow, W. S. Pear, Notch dimerization is required for leukemogenesis and T-cell development. *Genes Dev.* 24, 2395–2407 (2010).
- Y. Yashiro-Ohtani, H. Wang, C. Zang, K. L. Arnett, W. Bailis, Y. Ho, B. Knoechel, C. Lanauze, L. Louis, K. S. Forsyth, S. Chen, Y. Chung, J. Schug, G. A. Blobel, S. A. Liebhaber, B. E. Bernstein, S. C. Blacklow, X. Shirley Liu, J. C. Aster, W. S. Pear, Long-range enhancer activity determines Myc sensitivity to Notch inhibitors in T cell leukemia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, E4946–E4953 (2014).
- D. R. Friedmann, R. A. Kovall, Thermodynamic and structural insights into CSL-DNA complexes. *Protein Sci.* 19, 34–46 (2010).
- H. Wang, C. Zang, L. Taing, K. L. Arnett, Y. Joey Wong, W. S. Pear, S. C. Blacklow, X. S. Liu, J. C. Aster, NOTCH1–RBPJ complexes drive target gene expression through dynamic interactions with superenhancers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **111**, 705–710 (2014).
- R. A. Conlon, A. G. Reaume, J. Rossant, Notch1 is required for the coordinate segmentation of somites. *Development* **121**, 1533–1545 (1995).
- M. R. Hass, H.-H. Liow, X. Chen, A. Sharma, Y. U. Inoue, T. Inoue, A. Reeb, A. Martens, M. Fulbright, S. Raju, M. Stevens, S. Boyle, J.-S. Park, M. T. Weirauch, M. Brent, R. Kopan, SpDamID: Marking DNA bound by protein complexes identifies Notch-dimer responsive enhancers. *Mol. Cell* 59, 685–697 (2015).
- H. Wang, J. Zou, B. Zhao, E. Johannsen, T. Ashworth, H. Wong, W. S. Pear, J. Schug, S. C. Blacklow, K. L. Arnett, B. E. Bernstein, E. Kieff, J. C. Aster, Genome-wide analysis reveals conserved and divergent features of Notch1/RBPJ binding in human and murine T-lymphoblastic leukemia cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **108**, 14908–14913 (2011).
- A. P. Weng, J. M. Millholland, Y. Yashiro-Ohtani, M. Laure Arcangeli, A. Lau, C. Wai, C. del Bianco, C. G. Rodriguez, H. Sai, J. Tobias, Y. Li, M. S. Wolfe, C. Shachaf, D. Felsher, S. C. Blacklow, W. S. Pear, J. C. Aster, *c-Myc* is an important direct target of Notch1 in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma. *Genes Dev.* 20, 2096–2109 (2006).
- M. G. Grabherr, B. J. Haas, M. Yassour, J. Z. Levin, D. A. Thompson, I. Amit, X. Adiconis, L. Fan, R. Raychowdhury, Q. Zeng, Z. Chen, E. Mauceli, N. Hacohen, A. Gnirke, N. Rhind, F. di Palma, B. W. Birren, C. Nusbaum, K. Lindblad-Toh, N. Friedman, A. Regev, Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29, 644–652 (2011).
- T. Palomero, W. Keat Lim, D. T. Odom, M. Luisa Sulis, P. J. Real, A. Margolin, K. C. Barnes, J. O'Neil, D. Neuberg, A. P. Weng, J. C. Aster, F. Sigaux, J. Soulier, A. T. Look, R. A. Young, A. Califano, A. A. Ferrando, NOTCH1 directly regulates *c-MYC* and activates

a feed-forward-loop transcriptional network promoting leukemic cell growth. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **103**, 18261–18266 (2006).

- T. Trimarchi, E. Bilal, P. Ntziachristos, G. Fabbri, R. Dalla-Favera, A. Tsirigos, I. Aifantis, Genome-wide mapping and characterization of Notch-regulated long noncoding RNAs in acute leukemia. *Cell* **158**, 593–606 (2014).
- K. Durinck, A. Wallaert, I. Van de Walle, W. Van Loocke, P.-J. Volders, S. Vanhauwaert, E. Geerdens, Y. Benoit, N. Van Roy, B. Poppe, J. Soulier, J. Cools, P. Mestdagh, J. Vandesompele, P. Rondou, P. Van Vlierberghe, T. Taghon, F. Speleman, The Notch driven long non-coding RNA repertoire in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Haematologica* **99**, 1808–1816 (2014).
- A. Krejčí, F. Bernard, B. E. Housden, S. Collins, S. J. Bray, Direct response to Notch activation: Signaling crosstalk and incoherent logic. *Sci. Signal.* 2, ra1 (2009).
- E. Lamar, G. Deblandre, D. Wettstein, V. Gawantka, N. Pollet, C. Niehrs, C. Kintner, Nrarp is a novel intracellular component of the Notch signaling pathway. *Genes Dev.* 15, 1885–1899 (2001).
- T. J. Yun, M. J. Bevan, Notch-regulated ankyrin-repeat protein inhibits Notch1 signaling: Multiple Notch1 signaling pathways involved in T cell development. *J. Immunol.* **170**, 5834–5841 (2003).
- T. Palomero, K. C. Barnes, P. J. Real, J. L. Glade Bender, M. L. Sulis, V. V. Murty, A. I. Colovai, M. Balbin, A. A. Ferrando, CUTLL1, a novel human T-cell lymphoma cell line with t(7;9) rearrangement, aberrant NOTCH1 activation and high sensitivity to γ-secretase inhibitors. *Leukemia* 20, 1279–1287 (2006).
- D. Herranz, A. Ambesi-Impiombato, T. Palomero, S. A. Schnell, L. Belver, A. A. Wendorff, L. Xu, M. Castillo-Martin, D. Llobet-Navás, C. Cordon-Cardo, E. Clappier, J. Soulier, A. A. Ferrando, A NOTCH1-driven *MYC* enhancer promotes T cell development, transformation and acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat. Med.* **20**, 1130–1137 (2014).
- B. Zhao, J. Zou, H. Wang, E. Johannsen, C.-W. Peng, J. Quackenbush, J. C. Mar, C. Casson Morton, M. L. Freedman, S. C. Blacklow, J. C. Aster, B. E. Bernstein, E. Kieff, Epstein-Barr virus exploits intrinsic B-lymphocyte transcription programs to achieve immortal cell growth. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **108**, 14902–14907 (2011).
- C. Del Bianco, J. C. Aster, S. C. Blacklow, Mutational and energetic studies of Notch1 transcription complexes. J. Mol. Biol. 376, 131–140 (2008).
- K. L. Arnett, S. C. Blacklow, Analyzing the nuclear complexes of Notch signaling by electrophoretic mobility shift assay. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1187**, 231–245 (2014).
- A. P. Weng, Y. Nam, M. S. Wolfe, W. S. Pear, J. D. Griffin, S. C. Blacklow, J. C. Aster, Growth suppression of pre-T acute lymphoblastic leukemia cells by inhibition of notch signaling. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 23, 655–664 (2003).
- H. Li, R. Durbin, Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 25, 1754–1760 (2009).
- Y. Zhang, T. Liu, C. A. Meyer, J. Eeckhoute, D. S. Johnson, B. E. Bernstein, C. Nusbaum, R. M. Myers, M. Brown, W. Li, X. S. Liu, Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). *Genome Biol.* 9, R137 (2008).
- C. E. Grant, T. L. Bailey, W. S. Noble, FIMO: Scanning for occurrences of a given motif. Bioinformatics 27, 1017–1018 (2011).
- C. Trapnell, A. Roberts, L. Goff, G. Pertea, D. Kim, D. R. Kelley, H. Pimentel, S. L. Salzberg, J. L. Rinn, L. Pachter, Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. *Nat. Protoc.* 7, 562–578 (2012).
- B. J. Haas, A. Papanicolaou, M. Yassour, M. Grabherr, P. D. Blood, J. Bowden, M. B. Couger, D. Eccles, B. Li, M. Lieber, M. D. MacManes, M. Ott, J. Orvis, N. Pochet, F. Strozzi, N. Weeks,

R. Westerman, T. William, C. N. Dewey, R. Henschel, R. D. LeDuc, N. Friedman, A. Regev, De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity platform for reference generation and analysis. *Nat. Protoc.* **8**, 1494–1512 (2013).

- L. Wang, H. Jung Park, S. Dasari, S. Wang, J.-P. Kocher, W. Li, CPAT: Coding-Potential Assessment Tool using an alignment-free logistic regression model. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, e74 (2013).
- B. S. Carvalho, R. A. Irizarry, A framework for oligonucleotide microarray preprocessing. Bioinformatics 26, 2363–2367 (2010).
- W. E. Johnson, C. Li, A. Rabinovic, Adjusting batch effects in microarray expression data using empirical Bayes methods. *Biostatistics* 8, 118–127 (2007).
- M. E. Ritchie, B. Phipson, D. Wu, Y. Hu, C. W. Law, W. Shi, G. K. Smyth, *limma* powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, e47 (2015).
- D. Heckl, M. S. Kowalczyk, D. Yudovich, R. Belizaire, R. V. Puram, M. E. McConkey, A. Thielke, J. C. Aster, A. Regev, B. L. Ebert, Generation of mouse models of myeloid malignancy with combinatorial genetic lesions using CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 32, 941–946 (2014).
- D. Reyon, S. Q. Tsai, C. Khayter, J. A. Foden, J. D. Sander, J. K. Joung, FLASH assembly of TALENs for high-throughput genome editing. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **30**, 460–465 (2012).
- J. C. Aster, L. Xu, F. G. Karnell, V. Patriub, J. C. Pui, W. S. Pear, Essential roles for ankyrin repeat and transactivation domains in induction of T-cell leukemia by notch1. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 20, 7505–7515 (2000).
- B. Knoechel, J. E. Roderick, K. E. Williamson, J. Zhu, J. G. Lohr, M. J. Cotton, S. M. Gillespie, D. Fernandez, M. Ku, H. Wang, F. Piccioni, S. J. Silver, M. Jain, D. Pearson, M. J. Kluk, C. J. Ott, L. D. Shultz, M. A. Brehm, D. L. Greiner, A. Gutierrez, K. Stegmaier, A. L. Kung, D. E. Root, J. E. Bradner, J. C. Aster, M. A. Kelliher, B. E. Bernstein, An epigenetic mechanism of resistance to targeted therapy in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nat. Genet.* **46**, 364–370 (2014).

Funding: This work was supported by grants from the NIH (SP01 CA119070 and 5R01 CA092433) and the Leukemia and Lymphoma Society. **Author contributions:** J.C.A., S.C.B., X.S.L., and W.S.P. designed the project. K.L.A., H.W., and H.L. performed the experiments. E.S., K.L.A., C.Z., and L.T. analyzed the data. J.C.A., S.C.B., and W.S.P. wrote the paper. **Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests. **Data and materials availability:** All new ChIP-seq and RNA-seq data are deposited in GEO under accession numbers GSE51800 and GSE72175, respectively, whereas gene expression profiling data are deposited under the accession number GSE97465. Plasmids and other genomic and transcriptomic sequence information are available upon request.

Submitted 1 July 2016 Accepted 6 April 2017 Published 2 May 2017 10.1126/scisignal.aag1598

Citation: E. Severson, K. L. Arnett, H. Wang, C. Zang, L. Taing, H. Liu, W. S. Pear, X. S. Liu, S. C. Blacklow, J. C. Aster, Genome-wide identification and characterization of Notch transcription complex-binding sequence-paired sites in leukemia cells. *Sci. Signal.* **10**, eaag1598 (2017).

Science Signaling

Genome-wide identification and characterization of Notch transcription complex-binding sequence-paired sites in leukemia cells

Eric Severson, Kelly L. Arnett, Hongfang Wang, Chongzhi Zang, Len Taing, Hudan Liu, Warren S. Pear, X. Shirley Liu, Stephen C. Blacklow and Jon C. Aster

Sci. Signal. **10** (477), eaag1598. DOI: 10.1126/scisignal.aag1598

Paired regulation in Notch signaling

Paired regulation in Notch signaling The formation of Notch transcription complexes on DNA binding sites called sequence-paired sites (SPSs) adjusts transcriptional responses to Notch signals. However, SPSs are not easily identified by genomic sequencing. Severson *et al*. developed a method to identify SPSs throughout the genome. In leukemia cells, which often have Notch-activating mutations, SPSs were found in distal regulatory elements (enhancers) as well as proximal elements (promoters) in the chromatin associated with about one-third of Notch target genes. Some SPSs coordinated Notch-dependent coregulation of protein-coding genes and associated "enhancer" noncoding RNAs. The findings highlight the wide range of Notch responses to Notch in protein responses to Notch in provendent and associated "enhancer" noncoding responses to Notch in provendent coregulation of protein-coding genes and associated "enhancer" noncoding RNAs. The findings highlight the wide range of Notch responses to Notch in provendent and the mediate response elements that exist and presumably "tune" physiologic responses to Notch in normal tissues and mediate pathophysiologic responses to Notch in disease.

ARTICLE TOOLS	http://stke.sciencemag.org/content/10/477/eaag1598
SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS	http://stke.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2017/04/28/10.477.eaag1598.DC1
RELATED CONTENT	http://stke.sciencemag.org/content/sigtrans/9/426/ra45.full http://stke.sciencemag.org/content/sigtrans/8/386/ra71.full http://stke.sciencemag.org/content/sigtrans/9/426/ra46.full http://stke.sciencemag.org/content/sigtrans/10/479/eaan6297.full http://stke.sciencemag.org/content/sigtrans/10/483/eaag2989.full http://stke.sciencemag.org/content/sigtrans/10/505/eaam6846.full http://stke.sciencemag.org/content/sigtrans/10/505/eaam6846.full
REFERENCES	This article cites 47 articles, 17 of which you can access for free http://stke.sciencemag.org/content/10/477/eaag1598#BIBL
PERMISSIONS	http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions

Use of this article is subject to the Terms of Service

Science Signaling (ISSN 1937-9145) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. 2017 © The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. The title Science Signaling is a registered trademark of AAAS.